IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

ROBE	RT S. DIET	RICH,)		
	v.	Petitioner,))))) Civil No.	. 01-498-TC
s.w.	•)	ORDER	
			,		
		Respondent.)		

Magistrate Judge Thomas M. Coffin filed Findings and Recommendation on September 10, 2007, in the above entitled case. The matter is now before me pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). When either party objects to any portion of a magistrate judge's Findings and Recommendation, the district court must make a <u>de novo</u> determination of that portion of the magistrate judge's report. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Commodore Business Machines, Inc., 656 F.2d 1309, 1313 (9th Cir. 1981), cert. denied, 455 U.S. 920 (1982).

Petitioner's has timely filed objections. I have, therefore, given de novo review of Magistrate Judge Coffin's rulings.

Accordingly, I ADOPT Magistrate Judge I find no error. Coffin's Findings and Recommendation filed September 10, 2007, The amended petition for a writ of habeas in its entirety. corpus (#20) is denied and the clerk of court is directed to enter judgment dismissing this action.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this _____ day of ______